

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MIKEL PLETT,

Plaintiff,

v.

S. FOSTER,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:22-cv-01261-KES-HBK (PC)

ORDER SETTING SETTLEMENT
CONFERENCE AND PARAMETERS

Demand Due: 10/08/2024

Response to Demand: 10/22/2024

Settlement Statements Due: 11/19/2024

Settlement Conference: 12/03/2024

CLERK TO PROVIDE COURTESY COPIES

Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and *in forma pauperis* in this civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (See Doc. Nos. 8, 21, 22). On July 2, 2024, the Court stayed the case and directed the Parties to file a notice no later than September 3, 2024 if they objected to proceeding to an early settlement conference or believe that settlement is not achievable. (Doc. No. 28). The Parties have not opted out of an early settlement conference and the time to do so has expired. (See docket).

The case is therefore referred to Magistrate Judge Erica P. Grosjean to conduct a settlement conference, which is scheduled to occur on **December 3, 2024, at 1:00 p.m.** A settlement conference is more likely to be productive if, before the conference, the parties

1 exchange written settlement proposals prior to the settlement conference. Thus, the Court will
2 direct the Parties to respectively exchange a settlement demand and response to demand before
3 the conference. If either party believes a settlement conference will not be productive they shall
4 immediately notify the Court.

5 Accordingly, it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

- 6 1. A settlement conference is scheduled to occur on **December 3, 2024 at 1:00 p.m.**,
7 before Magistrate Judge Erica P. Grosjean. The conference shall be conducted via
8 Zoom videoconference and shall last up to three hours.
- 9 2. A representative with full and unlimited authority to negotiate and enter into a binding
10 settlement agreement shall attend.¹
- 11 3. Those in attendance must be prepared to discuss the claims, defenses, and damages at
12 issue in the case. The failure of any counsel, party, or authorized person subject to this
13 order to appear may result in the imposition of sanctions. In addition, the conference
14 will not proceed and will be reset to another date.
- 15 4. Thus, **no later than October 8, 2024**, Plaintiff shall submit a written itemization of
16 damages and tender a settlement demand to Defendant's counsel with a brief
17 explanation of why such a demand is reasonable and appropriate. **No later than**
18 **October 22, 2024**, Defendant's counsel shall submit a written offer to plaintiff with a
19 brief explanation of why the offer is reasonable and appropriate. On occasion, this
20 process will lead directly to settlement. If settlement is not achieved, the parties shall
21

22 ¹ While the exercise of its authority is subject to abuse of discretion review, “the district court has the authority to
23 order parties, including the federal government, to participate in mandatory settlement conferences....” United States
24 v. United States District Court for the Northern Mariana Islands, 694 F.3d 1051, 1053, 1057, 1059 (9th Cir. 2012)
25 (“the district court has broad authority to compel participation in mandatory settlement conference[s].”). The term
26 “full authority to settle” means that the individuals attending the mediation conference must be authorized to fully
27 explore settlement options and to agree at that time to any settlement terms acceptable to the parties. G. Heileman
Brewing Co., Inc. v. Joseph Oat Corp., 871 F.2d 648, 653 (7th Cir. 1989), cited with approval in Official Airline
Guides, Inc. v. Goss, 6 F.3d 1385, 1396 (9th Cir. 1993). The individual with full authority to settle must also have
28 “unfettered discretion and authority” to change the settlement position of the party, if appropriate. Pitman v. Brinker
Int'l, Inc., 216 F.R.D. 481, 485-86 (D. Ariz. 2003), amended on recon. in part, Pitman v. Brinker Int'l, Inc., 2003
WL 23353478 (D. Ariz. 2003). The purpose behind requiring the attendance of a person with full settlement
authority is that the parties’ view of the case may be altered during the face-to-face conference. Pitman, 216 F.R.D.
at 486. An authorization to settle for a limited dollar amount or sum certain can be found not to comply with the
requirement of full authority to settle. Nick v. Morgan’s Foods, Inc., 270 F.3d 590, 596-97 (8th Cir. 2001).

1 include their respective demand and offer letters with their Settlement Conference
2 Statements described below.

3 5. Defendants shall provide a confidential settlement statement no later than **November**
4 **19, 2024**, to the following email address: epgoders@caed.uscourts.gov. Plaintiff
5 shall mail his confidential settlement statement, clearly captioned “Confidential
6 Settlement Conference Statement,” Attn: Magistrate Judge Erica P. Grosjean, United
7 States District Court, 2500 Tulare Street, Room 1501, Fresno, CA 93721 so that it
8 arrives no later than **November 19, 2024**. The Parties shall also file a Notice of
9 Submission of Confidential Settlement Conference Statement (see Local Rule 270(d)).

10 6. Settlement statements **should not be filed** with the Clerk of Court **nor served on any**
11 **other party**. Settlement statements shall be clearly marked “confidential” with the
12 date and time of the settlement conference indicated prominently thereon.

13 7. The confidential settlement statement shall be **no longer than five pages** in length,
14 typed or neatly printed, and include the following:

15 a. A brief statement of the facts of the case.

16 b. A brief statement of the claims and defenses, i.e., statutory or other grounds upon
17 which the claims are founded; a forthright evaluation of the parties’ likelihood of
18 prevailing on the claims and defenses; and a description of the major issues in
19 dispute.

20 c. An estimate of the cost and time to be expended for further discovery, pretrial, and
21 trial.

22 d. The party’s position on settlement, including present demands and offers and a
23 history of past settlement discussions, offers, and demands.

24 e. A brief statement of the party’s expectations and goals for the settlement
25 conference, including how much the party is willing to accept and/or willing to
26 pay.

27 f. If the parties intend to discuss the joint settlement of any other actions or claims
28 not in this suit, a brief description of each action or claim as set forth above,

1 including case number(s) if applicable.

2 8. The Clerk of Court is directed to serve a copy of this order on the Litigation Office at
3 California State Prison, Sacramento.

4
5 Dated: September 4, 2024


6 HELENA M. BARCH-KUCHTA
7 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8
9

10 Cc: Felicia Navarro, CRD
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28